

# Using Oncotype DX as an additional treatment decision tool in early breast cancer: a retrospective analysis from a single institution in Taiwan

Chuan-Hsun Chang<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Yi-Hsien Lin<sup>4,5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Surgery, Cheng Hsin General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; <sup>2</sup>School of Nutrition and Health Sciences, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan; <sup>3</sup>Department of Surgery, National Defense Medical Center, Taipei, Taiwan; <sup>4</sup>Division of Radiotherapy, Cheng Hsin General Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan; <sup>5</sup>School of Medicine, National Yang-Ming University, Taipei, Taiwan

**Contributions:** (I) Conception and design: All authors; (II) Administrative support: All authors; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: All authors; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

**Correspondence to:** Yi-Hsien Lin, MD, PhD. Division of Radiotherapy, Cheng Hsin General Hospital, No. 45, Cheng Hsin St., Pai-Tou, Taipei, Taiwan. Email: ch9145@chgh.org.tw.

**Background:** Gene expression analysis is increasingly employed in breast cancer. This study is aimed to investigate the application of Oncotype DX as an additional treatment decision tool in early breast cancer in our institute.

**Methods:** Early breast cancer patients using Oncotype DX as a treatment decision tool at Cheng Hsin General Hospital from 2009 to 2014 were analyzed retrospectively. Thirty patients were enrolled.

**Results:** Seventeen patients (56.7%) had a low recurrence score (RS); 9 (30%) had intermediate RS; and 4 (13.3%) had high RS. All those with high RS received adjuvant chemotherapy, and none of the low and intermediate RS groups had adjuvant chemotherapy. The concordance of ER, PR, HER2/neu immunohistochemistry (IHC), and HER2/neu fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) was 96.7%, 90.0%, 100%, and 93.3%, respectively. No local recurrence and distant metastasis were reported.

**Conclusions:** This study offers our experience of using Oncotype DX in Taiwan. The results show a significant impact on the patient's decision of choosing adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer patients. To confirm these findings, large scale studies are warranted.

**Keywords:** Breast cancer; gene expression profiling; chemotherapy; estrogen receptor; decision making

Received: 14 November 2017; Accepted: 17 January 2018; Published: 26 February 2018.

doi: 10.21037/tro.2018.01.06

View this article at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/tro.2018.01.06>

## Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common malignancy in women in Western countries and in Taiwan (1,2). Adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer remains controversial. Patients with node-negative, ER-positive breast cancer did not benefit equally from adjuvant chemotherapy (3). Younger women may benefit more from chemotherapy than older women. Although chemotherapy contributes to survival benefit, patients often suffer from toxic side

effects. Determining which patients would benefit from chemotherapy is important for avoiding over-treatment.

Gene expression analysis is increasingly employed in breast cancer. Oncotype DX (Genomic Health, Inc., Redwood City, CA, USA) uses 21-gene RT-PCR assays to generate a recurrence score (RS) to quantify the likelihood of 10-year distant recurrence in patients with node-negative, estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. The RS value is categorized into low-risk (<18), intermediate-risk [18–30], and high-risk (>30) groups. The magnitude

of benefit from chemotherapy is greatest among patients in the high-risk group; those in the intermediate or low-risk groups demonstrates no significant benefit from chemotherapy (4). Whether patients have node-negative or node-positive breast cancer, the Breast RS test is both prognostic and predictive—providing both important prognostic information about the estimated risk of distant recurrence and the likelihood of adjuvant chemotherapy benefit. Oncotype DX is widely used in Western countries (4,5) and is commercially available in Asia, including China, Taiwan, Hong Kong and Singapore. However, studies in a Chinese population are scarce. This paper reports on the results of the application of Oncotype DX as an additional treatment decision tool in early breast cancer at Cheng Hsin General Hospital in Taiwan.

## Methods

A retrospective study was conducted at Cheng Hsin General Hospital. In our hospital, physicians may offer the choice of Oncotype DX for pT1-2N0-1cM0 breast cancer after surgery. Early breast cancer patients who received Oncotype DX as an additional treatment decision tool for adjuvant chemotherapy after total or partial mastectomy from 2009 to 2014 were analyzed retrospectively. All patients' clinical features were recorded including age, gender, pathological type, and treatment outcomes. From the Oncotype DX report, RS and ER, PR, and HER2 qualitative and quantitative unit scores were obtained. All patients signed the informed consent and allowed access to their medical records for this study. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Cheng Hsin Hospital {[347]101A-46}.

## Results

From 2009 to 2014, there are 1,435 new breast cancer patients in our hospital. Among them, 580 patients were staged with pT1-2N0-1cM0. Thirty patients using Oncotype DX were enrolled. The patients' demographics were shown in *Table 1*. The patients' pathological characteristics were shown in *Table 2*. Seventeen patients (56.7%) had a low RS values (<18); 9 (30%) had intermediate RS values [18–30]; and 4 (13.3%) had high RS values (>30) (*Table 1*). All four patients with high RS received adjuvant chemotherapy, and none of the low and intermediate RS groups had adjuvant chemotherapy. There is a good correlation for ER and PR status between immunohistochemistry (IHC) and RT-PCR assay of

Oncotype DX (*Table 3*). There is also a good correlation for HER2/neu status between IHC, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) and RT-PCR assay of Oncotype DX (*Table 4*). The concordance of ER, PR, HER2/neu IHC, and HER2/neu FISH was 96.7% (29/30), 90.0% (27/30), 100% (30/30), and 93.3% (28/30), respectively. All patients had no local recurrence and distant metastasis.

## Discussion

This study reports our experience of using Oncotype DX assay in Taiwan. It provides detailed clinical and treatment data of the users of Oncotype DX. With the increasing use of gene expression analysis in Taiwan, this study can be an important reference. The RS distribution in this study is similar to that of previous studies (4,6,7). It is notable that Oncotype DX has a significant impact on the decision-making of adjuvant chemotherapy in our cohort. All the four patients with high RS chose to receive adjuvant chemotherapy, and all of the low and intermediate RS groups chose not to receive adjuvant chemotherapy. All patients had no local recurrence and distant metastasis. Our results are consistent with published experiences and provide an important reference in support of the utility of the 21-gene assay for patients with early stage breast cancer across different ethnic groups (4,6,7).

There are four patients with pN1 in this study. In the beginning, the Oncotype DX was limited to node-negative patients. There have been studies that support the value of Oncotype DX in the node-positive breast cancer patients in 2010 (8,9). Therefore, the application of Oncotype DX was extended. Eligible patients were diagnosed with early stage, ER+, HER2- breast cancer with either node-negative or node-positive disease.

We treat breast cancer patients under the guideline of our hospital. The guideline was mainly modified from the NCCN guideline. This guideline was revised at least once every year. Patients were diagnosed breast cancer by individual physicians, mostly by general surgeons. These cases will be discussed in breast cancer joint meetings. At least one medical oncologist will be present at the meetings. According to the conclusion of the meetings, the attending physician will discuss with the patients about the choice of Oncotype DX if indicated. All the 30 patients in this study did not want to receive adjuvant chemotherapy after surgery. After the Oncotype DX results were available, all four high RS patients, accounting 13.3% of the 30 patients (4/30), changed their decision and received adjuvant chemotherapy.

**Table 1** Patient characteristics by recurrence score (RS)

Characteristic	Recurrence score						Total	%
	Low (RS <18)		Intermediate (RS =18–30)		High (RS >30)			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
No. (%)	17	57	9	30	4	13	30	100
Age (years)							0	
<50	5	29	5	56	0	0	10	33
≥50	12	71	4	44	4	100	20	67
Menopause								
Pre-menopause	8	47	4	44	2	50	14	47
Post-menopause	9	53	5	56	2	50	16	53
Bilateral breast cancer	1	6	3	33	0	0	4	13
Mastectomy								
Partial	6	35	3	33	1	25	10	33
Total	11	65	6	67	3	75	20	67
Stage		0						
cT1-2N0M0	13	76	8	89	3	75	24	80
cT1-2N1M0	4	24	1	11	1	25	6	20
Stage		0						
pT1-2N0M0	15	88	8	89	3	75	26	87
pT1-2N1M0	2	12	1	11	1	25	4	13
Tumor size (cm)								
≤2	14	82	8	89	3	75	25	83
>2	3	18	1	11	1	25	5	17
Lymph node positive								
0	15	88	8	89	3	75	26	87
1–3	2	12	1	11	1	25	4	13
Grade								
1–2	16	94	7	78	4	100	27	90
3	1	6	2	22	0	0	3	10
Radiotherapy	10	59	5	56	2	50	17	57
Chemotherapy	0	0	0	0	4	100	4	13
Hormonal therapy	17	100	9	100	4	100	30	100

According to Partin *et al.*'s study in the US, adjuvant therapy recommendations changed with the addition of the RS in 27–74% of cases (10). In Oratz *et al.*'s survey on medical oncologists who ordered the 21-gene RS assay, 86% of

the oncologists made treatment recommendations before obtaining the RS; 51% changed their recommendations after receiving the RS (11). In a Spanish study, treatment recommendation changed in 32% of 107 patients enrolled:

**Table 2** Pathological characteristics by recurrence score (RS)

Characteristic	Recurrence score						Total	%
	Low (RS <18)		Intermediate (RS =18–30)		High (RS >30)			
	No.	%	No.	%	No.	%		
ER (IHC)								
+	16	94	9	100	4	100	29	97
–	1	6	0	0	0	0	1	3
PR (IHC)								
+	17	100	9	100	2	50	28	93
–	0	0	0	0	2	50	2	7
HER2/neu (IHC)								
+	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
–	17	100	9	100	4	100	30	100
HER2/neu (FISH)								
+	0	0	1	11	1	25	2	7
–	17	100	8	89	3	75	28	93
Ki-67								
<20	13	76	3	33	1	25	17	57
≥20	4	24	6	67	3	75	13	43

IHC, immunohistochemistry; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; PR, progesterone receptor; ER, estrogen receptor.

**Table 3** Concordance between RT-PCR and IHC/FISH-based tests of ER and PR

Characteristic	PCR ER+	PCR ER–	PCR PR+	PCR PR–
IHC ER+	28	1	–	–
IHC ER–	1	0	–	–
IHC PR+	–	–	25	3
IHC PR–	–	–	0	2

IHC, immunohistochemistry; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; PR, progesterone receptor; ER, estrogen receptor; RT-PCR, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction.

in 21% from chemo-hormonal to hormonal therapy and in 11% from hormonal therapy to chemo-hormonal (12). A Japan's study found that recommendations changed in 33% of N0 and 65% of N+ patients (13). In Cheung *et al.*'s study in Hong Kong, treatment recommendation was changed for 31% patients after the Oncotype DX result was received (14). Of the changes in treatment decisions, 80% were changes to lower-intensity regimens (either equipoise or hormonal therapy). In another study in Hong

**Table 4** Concordance between RT-PCR and IHC/FISH-based tests of HER2/neu

Characteristic	PCR	
	HER2/neu+	HER2/neu–
IHC		
HER2/neu+	0	0
HER2/neu–	0	30
FISH		
HER2/neu+	0	2
HER2/neu–	0	28

IHC, immunohistochemistry; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; RT-PCR, reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction.

Kong, chemotherapy recommendations (including changes in intensity of chemotherapy) were changed in 23.3% of the patients (15). In Wang *et al.*'s study in China, patients categorized at low, intermediate, or high risk were 70.9%, 26.7%, and 2.3%, respectively (16). Among them, 22.1%

patients received adjuvant chemotherapy, including 4.9% cases of the low risk group and 60.9% of the intermediate group, and 100% of the high-risk group. One case had recurrence and no distal metastasis or death was reported with 15.5 months median follow-up time. In our study, 56.7% had a low RS; 30% had intermediate RS; and 13.3% had high RS. All those with high RS received adjuvant chemotherapy, and none of the low and intermediate RS groups had adjuvant chemotherapy.

Our results show that the majorities of patients aged over 50 (100%), with PR(+) (50%) and Ki-67 above 20 (75%), tend to be high RS. A previous study shows that younger women might benefit more from chemotherapy than older women (3). However, all the patients with high RS in our study were aged over 50. The Oncotype DX assay determines ER and PR status (positive or negative) by measuring gene expression at the RNA level. There is a high concordance between ER and PR status as determined by the Oncotype DX assay and by IHC, which measures ER and PR gene expression at the protein level. This is consistent with previous studies (17-19). Our study found that one patient was ER(-) by IHC and ER(+) by Oncotype DX assay. This patient finally chose to receive hormonal therapy.

There are several limitations to this study. The sample size is small. It is a retrospective study. The follow up time is too short to say it will be no recurrence in the next 10 years especially for hormone receptor positive patients. For Oncotype DX intermediate risk patients, the recurrence rate is not low enough for patient to decide to have adjuvant chemotherapy or not. Since the Oncotype DX assay is self-pay and has not been covered by national health insurance in Taiwan, patients who undergo this assay have relatively higher income.

This study offers our experience of using Oncotype DX in Taiwan. The results show a significant impact on the patient's decision of choosing adjuvant chemotherapy for early breast cancer patients. To confirm these findings, large scale studies are warranted.

### Acknowledgements

None.

### Footnote

*Conflicts of Interest:* The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

*Ethical Statement:* This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Cheng Hsin Hospital {[347]101A-46}.

### References

1. Health Promotion Administration. 2014 Health Promotion Administration Annual Report. Ministry of Health and Welfare, Taiwan, 2014.
2. Torre LA, Bray F, Siegel RL, et al. Global cancer statistics, 2012. *CA Cancer J Clin* 2015;65:87-108.
3. Fisher B, Jeong JH, Bryant J, et al. Treatment of lymph-node-negative, oestrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer: long-term findings from National Surgical Adjuvant Breast and Bowel Project randomised clinical trials. *Lancet* 2004;364:858-68.
4. Paik S, Tang G, Shak S, et al. Gene expression and benefit of chemotherapy in women with node-negative, estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2006;24:3726-34.
5. Enewold L, Geiger AM, Zujewski J, et al. Oncotype Dx assay and breast cancer in the United States: usage and concordance with chemotherapy. *Breast Cancer Res Treat* 2015;151:149-56.
6. Lo SS, Mumby PB, Norton J, et al. Prospective multicenter study of the impact of the 21-gene recurrence score assay on medical oncologist and patient adjuvant breast cancer treatment selection. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:1671-6.
7. Toi M, Iwata H, Yamanaka T, et al. Clinical significance of the 21-gene signature (Oncotype DX) in hormone receptor-positive early stage primary breast cancer in the Japanese population. *Cancer* 2010;116:3112-8.
8. Albain KS, Barlow WE, Shak S, et al. Prognostic and predictive value of the 21-gene recurrence score assay in postmenopausal women with node-positive, oestrogen-receptor-positive breast cancer on chemotherapy: a retrospective analysis of a randomised trial. *Lancet Oncol* 2010;11:55-65.
9. Dowsett M, Cuzick J, Wale C, et al. Prediction of risk of distant recurrence using the 21-gene recurrence score in node-negative and node-positive postmenopausal patients with breast cancer treated with anastrozole or tamoxifen: a TransATAC study. *J Clin Oncol* 2010;28:1829-34.
10. Partin JF, Mamounas EP. Impact of the 21-gene recurrence score assay compared with standard clinicopathologic guidelines in adjuvant therapy selection for node-negative, estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *Ann Surg Oncol*

- 2011;18:3399-406.
11. Oratz R, Kim B, Chao C, et al. Physician survey of the effect of the 21-gene recurrence score assay results on treatment recommendations for patients with lymph node-positive, estrogen receptor-positive breast cancer. *J Oncol Pract* 2011;7:94-9.
  12. Albanell J, González A, Ruiz-Borrego M, et al. Prospective transGEICAM study of the impact of the 21-gene Recurrence Score assay and traditional clinicopathological factors on adjuvant clinical decision making in women with estrogen receptor-positive (ER+) node-negative breast cancer. *Ann Oncol*. 2012;23:625-31.
  13. Yamauchi H, Nakagawa C, Takei H, et al. Prospective study of the effect of the 21-gene assay on adjuvant clinical decision-making in Japanese women with estrogen receptor-positive, node-negative, and node-positive breast cancer. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2014;14:191-7.
  14. Cheung PS, Tong AC, Leung RC, et al. Initial experience with the Oncotype DX assay in decision-making for adjuvant therapy of early oestrogen receptor-positive breast cancer in Hong Kong. *Hong Kong Med J* 2014;20:401-6.
  15. Leung RC, Yau TC, Chan MC, et al. The Impact of the Oncotype DX Breast Cancer Assay on Treatment Decisions for Women With Estrogen Receptor-Positive, Node-Negative Breast Carcinoma in Hong Kong. *Clin Breast Cancer* 2016;16:372-8.
  16. Wang WY, Wang X, Wang Y, et al. Clinical analysis of 21-gene recurrence score assay applied in early-stage breast cancer patients. *Chinese Journal of Breast Disease (Electronic Version)* 2015;1:30-4.
  17. Kraus JA, Dabbs DJ, Beriwal S, et al. Semi-quantitative immunohistochemical assay versus oncotype DX(®) qRT-PCR assay for estrogen and progesterone receptors: an independent quality assurance study. *Mod Pathol* 2012;25:869-76.
  18. O'Connor SM, Beriwal S, Dabbs DJ, et al. Concordance between semiquantitative immunohistochemical assay and oncotype DX RT-PCR assay for estrogen and progesterone receptors. *Appl Immunohistochem Mol Morphol* 2010;18:268-72.
  19. Park MM, Ebel JJ, Zhao W, et al. ER and PR immunohistochemistry and HER2 FISH versus oncotype DX: implications for breast cancer treatment. *Breast J* 2014;20:37-45.

doi: 10.21037/tro.2018.01.06

**Cite this article as:** Chang CH, Lin YH. Using Oncotype DX as an additional treatment decision tool in early breast cancer: a retrospective analysis from a single institution in Taiwan. *Ther Radiol Oncol* 2018;2:7.